Composable Core-sets for Determinant Maximization Problems via Spectral Spanners

Piotr Indyk MIT Sepideh Mahabadi TTIC

Shayan Oveis Gharan U. of Washington Alireza Rezaei U. of Washington

Volume (Determinant) Maximization Problem

Input: a set of *n* vectors $V \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and a parameter $k \leq d$,



Volume (Determinant) Maximization Problem

Input: a set of *n* vectors $V \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and a parameter $k \le d$, **Output:** a subset $S \subset V$ of size *k* with the maximum volume

• Parallelepiped spanned by the points in S



Volume (Determinant) Maximization Problem

Input: a set of *n* vectors $V \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and a parameter $k \leq d$, **Output:** a subset $S \subset V$ of size *k* with the maximum volume

• Parallelepiped spanned by the points in S



Determinant (Volume) Maximization Problem

Input: a set of n vectors $V \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and a parameter $k \leq d$,

Output: a subset $S \subset V$ of size k with the maximum volume

• Parallelepiped spanned by the points in S

$$\left(v_1 \, v_2 \dots v_n \right)$$

Equivalent Formulation:

Reuse V to denote the matrix where its columns are the vectors in V

Determinant (Volume) Maximization Problem

Input: a set of n vectors $V \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and a parameter $k \leq d$,

Output: a subset $S \subset V$ of size k with the maximum volume

• Parallelepiped spanned by the points in S



Equivalent Formulation:

Reuse V to denote the matrix where its columns are the vectors in V

• Let M be the gram matrix $V^T V$

$$M_{i,j} = v_i \cdot v_j$$

Determinant (Volume) Maximization Problem

Input: a set of n vectors $V \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and a parameter $k \leq d$,

Output: a subset $S \subset V$ of size k with the maximum volume

• Parallelepiped spanned by the points in S



Equivalent Formulation:

Reuse V to denote the matrix where its columns are the vectors in V

- Let M be the gram matrix $V^T V$
- Choose S such that $det(M_{S,S})$ is maximized

$$M_{i,j} = v_i \cdot v_j$$
$$\det(M_{S,S}) = Vol(S)^2$$

• Hard to approximate within a factor of 2^{*ck*} [CMI'13]

- Hard to approximate within a factor of 2^{*ck*} [CMI'13]
- Best algorithm: *e*^{*k*}-approximation [Nik'15]

- Hard to approximate within a factor of 2^{ck} [CMI'13]
- Best algorithm: e^k -approximation [Nik'15]
- Greedy is a popular algorithm: achieves approximation factor k!
 U ← Ø
 - For k iterations,
 - Add to U the farthest point from the subspace spanned by U



- Hard to approximate within a factor of 2^{ck} [CMI'13]
- Best algorithm: e^k -approximation [Nik'15]
- Greedy is a popular algorithm: achieves approximation factor k!
 U ← Ø
 - For k iterations,
 - Add to U the farthest point from the subspace spanned by U



- Hard to approximate within a factor of 2^{ck} [CMI'13]
- Best algorithm: e^k -approximation [Nik'15]
- Greedy is a popular algorithm: achieves approximation factor k!
 U ← Ø
 - For k iterations,
 - Add to U the farthest point from the subspace spanned by U



- Hard to approximate within a factor of 2^{ck} [CMI'13]
- Best algorithm: e^k -approximation [Nik'15]
- Greedy is a popular algorithm: achieves approximation factor k!
 U ← Ø
 - For k iterations,
 - Add to U the farthest point from the subspace spanned by U



- Hard to approximate within a factor of 2^{ck} [CMI'13]
- Best algorithm: *e*^{*k*}-approximation [Nik'15]
- Greedy is a popular algorithm: achieves approximation factor k!
 U ← Ø
 - For k iterations,
 - Add to U the farthest point from the subspace spanned by U



- Hard to approximate within a factor of 2^{ck} [CMI'13]
- Best algorithm: *e*^{*k*}-approximation [Nik'15]
- Greedy is a popular algorithm: achieves approximation factor k!= $U \leftarrow \emptyset$
 - For k iterations,
 - Add to U the farthest point from the subspace spanned by U



- Maximum a posteriori (MAP) decoding is determinant maximization
- Volume/determinant is a notion of diversity

- Maximum a posteriori (MAP) decoding is determinant maximization
- Volume/determinant is a notion of diversity



- Maximum a posteriori (MAP) decoding is determinant maximization
- Volume/determinant is a notion of diversity



- Maximum a posteriori (MAP) decoding is determinant maximization
- Volume/determinant is a notion of diversity



Core-sets [AHV'05]: a subset **U** of the data **V** that represents it well

Solving the problem over U gives a good approximation of solving the problem over V

Core-sets [AHV'05]: a subset **U** of the data **V** that represents it well

Composable Core-sets [AAIMV'13 and IMMM'14]:

A subset $U \subset V$ is called composable coreset if —The union of coresets is an α -approximate coreset for the union

Core-sets [AHV'05]: a subset **U** of the data **V** that represents it well

Composable Core-sets [AAIMV'13 and IMMM'14]: Let f be an optimization function -E.g. f(V) is the solution to k determinant maximization

A subset $U \subset V$ is called composable coreset if

-The union of coresets is an α -approximate coreset for the union























- Composable Core-sets have been studied for the diversity Maximization problems, for other notions of diversity: minimum pairwise distance, sum of pairwise distances, etc.
- ✓ Determinant maximization is a higher order notion of diversity

Applications: Streaming Computation

• Streaming Computation:

- Processing sequence of *n* data elements "on the fly"
- limited Storage



Applications: Streaming Computation

• Streaming Computation:

- Processing sequence of *n* data elements "on the fly"
- limited Storage

• *c*-Composable Core-set of size *k*

• Chunks of size \sqrt{nk} , thus number of chunks = $\sqrt{n/k}$



Applications: Streaming Computation

• Streaming Computation:

- Processing sequence of *n* data elements "on the fly"
- limited Storage

• *c*-Composable Core-set of size *k*

- Chunks of size \sqrt{nk} , thus number of chunks = $\sqrt{n/k}$
- Core-set for each chunk
- Total Space: $k\sqrt{n/k} + \sqrt{nk} = O(\sqrt{nk})$
- Approximation Factor: *c*



Applications: Distributed Computation

- Streaming Computation
- Distributed System:
 - Each machine holds a block of data.
 - A composable core-set is computed and sent to the server
- Map-Reduce Model:
 - One round of Map-Reduce
 - $\sqrt{n/k}$ mappers each getting \sqrt{nk} points
 - Mapper computes a composable core-set of size k
 - Will be passed to a single reducer



Can we get a composable core-set of small size for the determinant maximization problem?

Results

Composable Core-sets for Determinant Maximization:

Algorithm:

There exists a polynomial time algorithm for computing an $\tilde{O}(k)^k$ - composable core-set of size $\tilde{O}(k)$ for the *k*-determinant maximization problem.

Lower bound:

Any composable core-set of size $k^{O(1)}$ for the *k*-determinant maximization problem must have an approximation factor of $\Omega(k)^{k(1-O(1))}$.

Results

Composable Core-sets for Determinant Maximization:

Algorithm:

There exists a polynomial time algorithm for computing an $\tilde{O}(k)^k$ - composable core-set of size $\tilde{O}(k)$ for the *k*-determinant maximization problem.

Lower bound:

Any composable core-set of size $k^{O(1)}$ for the *k*-determinant maximization problem must have an approximation factor of $\Omega(k)^{k(1-o(1))}$.

 \succ Note the gap with the approximation factor of the best offline algorithm: e^k

Overall Picture


Overall Picture



Overall Picture

Spectral Spanners

Easy case k = d

• Spanners: sparsifying a graph while preserving distances between nodes.

 Spectral Spanners: sparsifying a point set while preserving distances to hyperplanes.

• similar to core-sets for width [AHV'05]

Given a set of points V

A subset $U \subseteq V$ is a α -spectral spanner of V if for every $\nu \in V$, there

exists a probability distribution μ_{v} over **U**, s.t. for every direction $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$

$$\langle x, v \rangle^2 \leq \alpha \cdot \mathbb{E}_{u \sim \mu_v}[\langle x, u \rangle^2]$$

Given a set of points V

A subset $U \subseteq V$ is a α -spectral spanner of V if for every $\nu \in V$, there

exists a probability distribution μ_{v} over **U**, s.t. for every direction $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$

$$d(v, H_x) \qquad \langle x, v \rangle^2 \leq \alpha \cdot \mathbb{E}_{u \sim \mu_v} [\langle x, u \rangle^2] \qquad d(u, H_x)$$

Geometric interpretation: For any hyperplane H_x (perpendicular to x), the

maximum distance of points in V to H_x is "preserved" (in expectation) over U

Given a set of points V

A subset $U \subseteq V$ is a α -spectral spanner of V if for every $\nu \in V$, there

exists a probability distribution μ_{v} over **U**, s.t. for every direction $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$

$$d(v, H_x) \qquad \langle x, v \rangle^2 \leq \alpha \cdot \mathbb{E}_{u \sim \mu_v} [\langle x, u \rangle^2] \qquad d(u, H_x)$$

Geometric interpretation: For any hyperplane H_x (perpendicular to x), the

maximum distance of points in V to H_x is "preserved" (in expectation) over U

equivalently,

$$vv^T \leq \alpha \cdot \mathbb{E}_{u \sim \mu_v}[uu^T]$$

Overall Picture



• Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges.



- Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges.
- *H* is an α spanner if for every edge $f = (u, v) \in E_G$, $d_H(u, v) \leq \alpha$



- Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges.
- *H* is an α spanner if for every edge $f = (u, v) \in E_G$, $d_H(u, v) \leq \alpha$



- Let *G* be a graph with *n* vertices and *m* edges.
- *H* is an α spanner if for every edge $f = (u, v) \in E_G$, $d_H(u, v) \leq \alpha$
- Let $V = \{b_f\}$ contain m vectors, $b_f = e_u e_v$ is n-dimensional



- Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges.
- *H* is an α spanner if for every edge $f = (u, v) \in E_G$, $d_H(u, v) \leq \alpha$
- Let $V = \{b_f\}$ contain m vectors, $b_f = e_u e_v$ is n-dimensional



Observation: If *H* is an α -combinatorial spanner of *G*, then $U = \{b_f\}_{f \in E_H}$ is an α^2 -spectral spanner of $V = \{b_f\}_{f \in E_G}$





Take b_f f = (u, v)





$$\begin{array}{c|c} \text{Goal:} & \langle x, b_f \rangle^2 \leq \alpha^2 \cdot \mathbb{E}_{e \sim \mu_f} [\langle x, b_e \rangle^2] \\ \hline & & & & \\ &$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \text{Goal:} & \langle x, b_f \rangle^2 \leq \alpha^2 \cdot \mathbb{E}_{e \sim \mu_f} [\langle x, b_e \rangle^2] \\ \hline & & & & \\ &$$

$$Z \coloneqq \langle x, b_f \rangle = (x_u - x_v)$$
$$Z_i \coloneqq \langle x, b_{f_i} \rangle = (x_{u_i} - x_{u_{i+1}})$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \textbf{Goal:} \quad \textbf{Z}^2 \leq \alpha^2 \cdot \sum_i \frac{1}{\alpha} \hspace{0.1cm} Z_i^2 \\ & & & & \\ & &$$

$$Z \coloneqq \langle x, b_f \rangle = (x_u - x_v)$$
$$Z_i \coloneqq \langle x, b_{f_i} \rangle = (x_{u_i} - x_{u_{i+1}})$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Goal:} \quad \textbf{Z}^{2} \leq \alpha^{2} \cdot \sum_{i} \frac{1}{\alpha} Z_{i}^{2} \\ \hline \textbf{a} = \textbf{3} \\ \downarrow \textbf{a} \\ u \\ \textbf{g} \\ \textbf$$



Observation: If *H* is an α -combinatorial spanner of *G*, then $U = \{b_f\}_{f \in E_H}$ is an α^2 -spectral spanner of $V = \{b_f\}_{f \in E_G}$

Overall Picture



- Let *V* be the point set
- Let *U* be the spectral spanner of *V* as its core-set
- Let *OPT* be a subset of *k* points in *V* whose det is maximized.
- **Goal:** *U* contains a "good" solution for det. Maximization

- Let *V* be the point set
- Let *U* be the spectral spanner of *V* as its core-set
- Let *OPT* be a subset of *k* points in *V* whose det is maximized.
- **Goal:** *U* contains a "good" solution for det. Maximization

 $OPT \subset V$: the optimal solution over V

- Let *V* be the point set
- Let *U* be the spectral spanner of *V* as its core-set
- Let *OPT* be a subset of *k* points in *V* whose det is maximized.
- **Goal:** *U* contains a "good" solution for det. Maximization



- Let *V* be the point set
- Let *U* be the spectral spanner of *V* as its core-set
- Let *OPT* be a subset of *k* points in *V* whose det is maximized.
- **Goal:** *U* contains a "good" solution for det. Maximization



- Let *V* be the point set
- Let *U* be the spectral spanner of *V* as its core-set
- Let *OPT* be a subset of *k* points in *V* whose det is maximized.
- **Goal:** *U* contains a "good" solution for det. Maximization



$$\det(OPT) \le \alpha^d \det(A) \le (\alpha e)^d \det(T)$$

Will show: spectral spanner with $\alpha = \tilde{O}(d)$ and thus the app. factor is $\tilde{O}(d)^d$.

Overall Picture



In graph: for any edge (u, v), where $dist(u, v) > \alpha$ put the edge in the spanner.

In graph: for any edge (u, v), where $dist(u, v) > \alpha$ put the edge in the spanner.

Spectral variant of the algorithm: Find a vector v such that for any distribution μ_v over U, the spanner condition is not satisfied, i.e., $vv^T \leq \alpha \cdot \mathbb{E}_{u \sim \mu_v}[uu^T]$

add it to the spanner U.

In graph: for any edge (u, v), where $dist(u, v) > \alpha$ put the edge in the spanner.

Spectral variant of the algorithm: Find a vector v such that for any distribution μ_v over U, the spanner condition is not satisfied, i.e., $vv^T \leq \alpha \cdot \mathbb{E}_{u \sim \mu_v}[uu^T]$

add it to the spanner U.

We can implement in polynomial time

> We cannot bound the size of the spanner.

In graph: for any edge (u, v), where $dist(u, v) > \alpha$ put the edge in the spanner.

Spectral variant of the algorithm: Find a vector v such that for any distribution μ_v over U, the spanner condition is not satisfied, i.e., $vv^T \leq \alpha \cdot \mathbb{E}_{u \sim \mu_v}[uu^T]$

Take a detour

add it to the spanner U.

We can implement in polynomial time

We cannot bound the size of the spanner.

Overall Picture


- Change the order of quantifiers in the spanner:
- Strong Spanners: For every v, there exists μ_v , s.t. for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\langle x, v \rangle^2 \leq \alpha \cdot \mathbb{E}_{u \sim \mu_v}[\langle x, u \rangle^2]$$

- Change the order of quantifiers in the spanner:
- Strong Spanners: For every v, there exists μ_v , s.t. for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\langle x, v \rangle^2 \leq \alpha \cdot \mathbb{E}_{u \sim \mu_v}[\langle x, u \rangle^2]$$

• Weak Spanners: For every v and every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there exists $\mu_{v,x}$, s.t.

$$\langle x, v \rangle^2 \leq \alpha \cdot \mathbb{E}_{u \sim \mu_{v,x}}[\langle x, u \rangle^2]$$

- Change the order of quantifiers in the spanner:
- Strong Spanners: For every v, there exists μ_v , s.t. for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\langle x, v \rangle^2 \leq \alpha \cdot \mathbb{E}_{u \sim \mu_v}[\langle x, u \rangle^2]$$

• Weak Spanners: For every v and every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there exists $\mu_{v,x}$, s.t.

$$\langle x, v \rangle^2 \le \alpha \cdot \mathbb{E}_{u \sim \mu_{v, x}}[\langle x, u \rangle^2] \longleftrightarrow \langle x, v \rangle^2 \le \alpha \cdot \max_u \langle x, u \rangle^2$$

- Change the order of quantifiers in the spanner:
- Strong Spanners: For every v, there exists μ_v , s.t. for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\langle x, v \rangle^2 \leq \alpha \cdot \mathbb{E}_{u \sim \mu_v}[\langle x, u \rangle^2]$$

• Weak Spanners: For every v and every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there exists $\mu_{v,x}$, s.t.

$$\langle x, v \rangle^2 \le \alpha \cdot \mathbb{E}_{u \sim \mu_{v,x}}[\langle x, u \rangle^2] \longleftrightarrow \langle x, v \rangle^2 \le \alpha \cdot \max_u \langle x, u \rangle^2$$



• Weak Spanners: For every v and every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there exists $\mu_{v,x}$, s.t.

$$\langle x, v \rangle^2 \le \alpha \cdot \max_u \langle x, u \rangle^2$$



• Weak Spanners: For every v and every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there exists $\mu_{v,x}$, s.t.

$$\langle x, v \rangle^2 \le \alpha \cdot \max_u \langle x, u \rangle^2$$



• Weak Spanners: For every v and every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there exists $\mu_{v,x}$, s.t.

$$\langle x, v \rangle^2 \le \alpha \cdot \max_u \langle x, u \rangle^2$$



• Weak Spanners: For every v and every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there exists $\mu_{v,x}$, s.t.

$$\langle x, v \rangle^2 \le \alpha \cdot \max_u \langle x, u \rangle^2$$



• Weak Spanners: For every v and every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there exists $\mu_{v,x}$, s.t.

$$\langle x, v \rangle^2 \le \alpha \cdot \max_u \langle x, u \rangle^2$$

Spectral variant of the algorithm: Find a vector *v* and a direction *x* s.t.

$$\langle x, v \rangle^2 > \alpha \cdot \max_u \langle x, u \rangle^2$$



Spectral variant of the algorithm: Find a vector *v* and a direction *x* s.t.

$$\langle x, v \rangle^2 > \alpha \cdot \max_u \langle x, u \rangle^2$$



Spectral variant of the algorithm: Find a vector *v* and a direction *x* s.t.

$$\langle x, v \rangle^2 > \alpha \cdot \max_u \langle x, u \rangle^2$$



Spectral variant of the algorithm: Find a vector *v* and a direction *x* s.t.

$$\langle x, v \rangle^2 > \alpha \cdot \max_u \langle x, u \rangle^2$$



Spectral variant of the algorithm: Find a vector *v* and a direction *x* s.t.

$$\langle x, v \rangle^2 > \alpha \cdot \max_u \langle x, u \rangle^2$$



Spectral variant of the algorithm: Find a vector *v* and a direction *x* s.t.

$$\langle x, v \rangle^2 > \alpha \cdot \max_u \langle x, u \rangle^2$$



Spectral variant of the algorithm: Find a vector *v* and a direction *x* s.t.

$$\langle x, v \rangle^2 > \alpha \cdot \max_u \langle x, u \rangle^2$$



Spectral variant of the algorithm: Find a vector *v* and a direction *x* s.t.

$$\langle x, v \rangle^2 > \alpha \cdot \max_u \langle x, u \rangle^2$$

add $\underset{v}{\operatorname{argmax}\langle x,v\rangle^2}$ to the spanner *U*.

 \succ We should bound the size of U

Spectral variant of the algorithm: Find a vector *v* and a direction *x* s.t.

$$\langle x, v \rangle^2 > \alpha \cdot \max_u \langle x, u \rangle^2$$

add $\underset{v}{\operatorname{argmax}}\langle x, v \rangle^2$ to the spanner U.

We should bound the size of U

- Let t = |U| be the number of iterations
- Let u_i be the vector picked at iteration i in the spanner
- Let *x_i* be the bad direction of iteration *i*



Spectral variant of the algorithm: Find a vector v and a direction x s.t.

$$\langle x, v \rangle^2 > \alpha \cdot \max_u \langle x, u \rangle^2$$

add $\underset{v}{\operatorname{argmax}}\langle x, v \rangle^2$ to the spanner U.

Fact: $rank(M) \le d$ as M is a product of a $t \times d$ and a $d \times t$ matrix

Goal: Show $rank(M) \ge C \cdot t$

>Implies: $t \leq d/C$



Spectral variant of the algorithm: Find a vector v and a direction x s.t.

$$\langle x, v \rangle^2 > \alpha \cdot \max_u \langle x, u \rangle^2$$

add $\underset{v}{\operatorname{argmax}\langle x,v\rangle^2}$ to the spanner U.

 $M_{t \times t}$

Goal: Show $rank(M) \ge C \cdot t$



Goal: Show $rank(M) \ge C \cdot t$



Goal: Show $rank(M) \ge C \cdot t$

Spectral variant of the algorithm: Find a vector *v* and a direction *x* s.t.

$$\langle x, v \rangle^2 > \alpha \cdot \max_u \langle x, u \rangle^2$$

add $\underset{v}{\operatorname{argmax}\langle x,v\rangle^2}$ to the spanner U.

> Divide each column *j* of *M* by $M_{j,j}$

Spectral variant of the algorithm: Find a vector *v* and a direction *x* s.t.

$$\langle x,v\rangle^2 > \alpha \cdot \max_u \langle x,u\rangle^2$$

add $\underset{v}{\operatorname{argmax}\langle x,v\rangle^2}$ to the spanner *U*.

Divide each column j of M by M_{j,j}

Take the matrix $M_{t \times t}$ where $M_{i,j} = \langle x_i, v_j \rangle / \langle x_j, v_j \rangle$

• All 1 on the diagonal

• For any
$$i < j$$
, we have $M_{i,j} \le \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}}\right) \coloneqq \epsilon$

• For any i > j, we have $M_{i,j} \le 1$

► Goal: Show $rank(M) \ge C \cdot t$



Spectral variant of the algorithm: Find a vector *v* and a direction *x* s.t.

$$\langle x, v \rangle^2 > \alpha \cdot \max_u \langle x, u \rangle^2$$



Goal: Show
$$rank(M) \ge C \cdot t$$





• Theorem: Adding *E* does not decrease the rank "a lot"

≻Goal: Show
$$rank(M) \ge C \cdot t$$

Overall Picture



Geometric Interpretation:

Preserves maximum distance to

any k-dimensional hyperplane.

Generalizing the notion of

Streaming algorithm

Distributed algorithm

Map-Reduce algorithm

From weak spanners to strong spanners

Theorem: any weak spectral spanner is in fact a strong

spectral spanner

From weak spanners to strong spanners

Theorem: any weak spectral spanner is in fact a strong

spectral spanner

- We need to show existence of $\mu_v \rightarrow$ write an SDP for each v
- Instead consider the dual of SDP
- Use hyperplane separating theorem to show such a distribution exists.

Overall Picture



Geometric Interpretation:

Preserves maximum distance to

any k-dimensional hyperplane.

Generalizing the notion of

Streaming algorithm

Distributed algorithm

Map-Reduce algorithm

Generalizing to k < d

Spectral Spanners (k = d)

A set $U \subseteq V$ is a α -spectral spanner of V if for every $v \in V$, there exists a probability distribution μ_v over U, s.t.

$$vv^T \leq \alpha \cdot \mathbb{E}_{u \sim \mu_v}[uu^T]$$

Spectral Spanners ($k \leq d$)

A set $U \subseteq V$ is a α -spectral k-spanner of V if for every $v \in V$, there exists a probability distribution μ_v over U, s.t.

$$vv^T \leq_{\mathbf{k}} \alpha \cdot \mathbb{E}_{u \sim \mu_v}[uu^T]$$

Spectral Spanners ($k \leq d$)

A set $U \subseteq V$ is a α -spectral *k*-spanner of *V* if for every $v \in V$, there exists a probability distribution μ_v over U, s.t.

$$vv^T \leq_{\boldsymbol{k}} \alpha \cdot \mathbb{E}_{u \sim \mu_v}[uu^T]$$

Definition: For two matrices $A_{d \times d}$ and $B_{d \times d}$

 $A \leq_k B$

> Iff sum of the bottom d - k + 1 eigen values of B - A is non-negative

- $A \leq_d B$ iff $A \leq B$
- $A \leq_1^{-} B$ iff $tr(A) \leq tr(B)$

Spectral Spanners ($k \leq d$)

A set $U \subseteq V$ is a α -spectral k-spanner of V if for every $v \in V$, there exists a probability distribution μ_{ν} over U, s.t.

$$vv^T \leq_{\mathbf{k}} \alpha \cdot \mathbb{E}_{u \sim \mu_v}[uu^T]$$

Definition: For two matrices $A_{d \times d}$ and $B_{d \times d}$

 $A \leq_k B$

 \blacktriangleright Iff sum of the bottom d - k + 1 eigen values of B - A is non-negative

- $A \leq_d B$ iff $A \leq B$ $A \leq_1 B$ iff $tr(A) \leq tr(B)$

Preserve distances to k-subspaces.
How to find spectral *k*-spanner?



How to find spectral *k*-spanner?



What is known?

- Hard to approximate within a factor of 2^{ck} [CMI'13]
- Best algorithm: *e*^{*k*}-approximation [Nik'15]
- Greedy is a popular algorithm: achieves approximation factor k!= $U \leftarrow \emptyset$
 - For k iterations,
 - Add to U the farthest point from the subspace spanned by U



Reduction to full dimensional case

Input: a set of points *V* and a parameter k < d**Output:** *k*-spanner of *V*

- Run The **Greedy** algorithm on V for 2k iterations $\rightarrow U_1$
- Let S be the subspace spanned by U₁
- **Project** *V* on *S*
- (Now d' = O(k))
- Find d'-spanner on projected $V \rightarrow U_2$
- Return $U_1 \cup U_2$

• Defined the notion of α -Spectral k-Spanner

- Defined the notion of α -Spectral k-Spanner
- Its connection to graph spanners and its geometric interpretation

- Defined the notion of α -Spectral k-Spanner
- Its connection to graph spanners and its geometric interpretation
- Spanner Result:
 - Showed there exists an $\tilde{O}(k)$ -spectral k-spanner of size $\tilde{O}(k)$.
 - There exists a set of size $e^{\Omega(k^{\epsilon})}$ such that any $k^{1-\epsilon}$ -Spanner must contain all vectors

- Defined the notion of α -Spectral k-Spanner
- Its connection to graph spanners and its geometric interpretation
- Spanner Result:
 - Showed there exists an $\tilde{O}(k)$ -spectral k-spanner of size $\tilde{O}(k)$.
- There exists a set of size $e^{\Omega(k^{\epsilon})}$ such that any $k^{1-\epsilon}$ -Spanner must contain all vectors
- Composable Core-set Result:
 - There exists an $\tilde{O}(k)^k$ -composable core-set of size $\tilde{O}(k)$ for the determinant maximization problem.
 - Any composable core-set of size k^{β} for the determinant maximization must have an approximation factor of $\left(\frac{k}{\beta}\right)^{k(1-o(1))}$

- Defined the notion of α -Spectral k-Spanner
- Its connection to graph spanners and its geometric interpretation
- Spanner Result:
 - Showed there exists an $\tilde{O}(k)$ -spectral k-spanner of size $\tilde{O}(k)$.
 - There exists a set of size $e^{\Omega(k^{\epsilon})}$ such that any $k^{1-\epsilon}$ -Spanner must contain all vectors
- Composable Core-set Result:
 - There exists an $\tilde{O}(k)^k$ -composable core-set of size $\tilde{O}(k)$ for the determinant maximization problem.

• Any composable core-set of size k^{β} for the determinant maximization must have an approximation factor of $\left(\frac{k}{\beta}\right)^{k(1-o(1))}$

• Similar results for other spectral optimization problems.

Comparison in practice

- Greedy algorithm
 - Widely used in Practice
 - We showed it achieves $O(C^{k^2})$
- Local Search algorithm
 - Performs better than Greedy but runs ~4 times slower.
 - Achieves $O(k^{2k})$
- This algorithm
 - Achieves $\tilde{O}(k^k)$
 - Performs worse than Local Search and runs slower.

Open Problems

- Other applications of Spectral Spanners?
- Analogue of *k*-spanners for graphs?
- Composable Core-sets for DPP sampling?

Open Problems

- Other applications of Spectral Spanners?
- Analogue of *k*-spanners for graphs?
- Composable Core-sets for DPP sampling?

THANK YOU!